

## REMARKS

The Office Action of October 22, 2009 has been carefully reviewed and this response addresses the Examiner's concerns. Applicants respectfully request for examination and reconsideration of the claims.

Applicants and their attorney wish to thank Examiner Yen for the courtesy of the telephone interviews on November 17, 2009 and November 19, 2009, in which the amendments to the claims as set forth herein were discussed.

### I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1-4, 8-9 and 13-14 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-4, 8-9 and 13-14 have been objected to for various informalities.

Claims 1-4, 8-9 and 13-14 are indicated as containing allowable subject matter.

Claims 2-7 and 10-12 have been canceled, without prejudice.

### II. ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

The Examiner has indicated that claims 1-4, 8-9 and 13-14 contain allowable subject matter.

### III. OBJECTIONS TO THE CLAIMS

Claims The Examiner has objected to claims 1-4, 8-9 and 13-14 for various minor informalities. In response, Applicant(s) has/have amended the claims in a manner to overcome such objections. In addition, claims 2-4 have been canceled, without prejudice. These amendments and cancellations have not been made to distinguish over any reference of record or for patentability purposes to comply with 35 USC 112. Accordingly, no narrowing of any corresponding equivalents to which these claims are entitled is intended by these amendments.

Regarding the objection to claims 1, 9, and 14, the subject limitations have now been amended to recite "each of a plurality of mark up language terms". Applicants believe the amendments to the claims adequately overcome the examiner's objections.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, in view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully assert that all remaining claims are now in condition for allowance, and respectfully request that the Examiner pass this case to issue. If after considering the above remarks and amendments, the Examiner is still not of the opinion that allowable subject matter is claimed, Applicants respectfully request a telephone interview with the Examiner and his/her respective Supervisory Patent Examiner to resolve any outstanding issues prior to issuance of any further office actions.

The Director of Patents and Trademarks is hereby authorized to charge the large-entity Request for Continued Examination fee, the two-month extension fee, and any deficiencies, or to credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 03-2410 (Order No. 42551-100).

Respectfully submitted,  
David A. Eves, et al., Applicants

Dated: November 23, 2009

By:           /Bruce D. Jobse/            
Bruce D. Jobse  
Reg. No. 33,518  
Attorney for Applicants  
(617) 345-3000